

## Message Text

PAGE 01 USUN N 02157 082040 Z

14

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 NEA-10 ADP-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07

H-02 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01

SS-15 USIA-12 AF-10 ARA-11 EA-11 OMB-01 RSR-01 /148 W

----- 035939

R 082000 Z JUN 73

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 8337

INFO AMEMBASSY AMMAN

AMEMBASSY BEIRUT

AMEMBASSY TEL AVIV

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

USINT CAIRO

C O N F I D E N T I A L USUN 2157

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, UNSC, XF, UK

SUBJECT: UN DEBATE ON ME: US- UK CONSULTATION

1. AMB. SCALI AND MEMBERS HIS STAFF MET JUNE 6 WITH ACTING UK PERM REP JAMIESON AND UK MISOFFS WEIR AND WESTON FOR INFORMAL ASSESSMENT OF WHERE WE NOW STOOD IN SC DEBATE. AMB. SCALI BRIEFED BRITISH ON HIS MEETING WITH FONMIN ZAYYAT AND REITERATED BASIC US POSITION TOWARD DEBATE. HE AGAIN MADE CLEAR THAT HE WOULD BETO ANY RES WHICH UPSET BALANCE OF 242 AND CREATED NEW MECHANISM.

2. BRITISH INDICATED THAT NAMIBIA- STYLE COMITE IDEA HAD BEEN PUT ASIDE AND BRITISH WERE NOT CONCENTRATING ON POSSIBILITY OF CONSENSUS DEVICE. ON PERSONAL BASIS, JAMIESON SAID THAT HE DID NOT BELIEVE THAT MERE REAFFIRMATION OF 242 AND LAUNCHING OF JARRING OR SYG IN ITSELF WOULD SATISFY EGYPTIANS. HE NOTED THAT EGYPTIANS HAVE LAID GREAT STRESS IN THEIR MEETINGS, AS WELL AS IN SC SPEECH, ON NON- ACQUISITION OF CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USUN N 02157 082040 Z

TERRITORY BY FORCE. HE THOUGHT THAT WILLINGNESS OF US TO AFFIRM ITS AGREEMENT WITH THIS PRINCIPLE, WVEN IF CAREFULLY BALANCED BY OTHER PRINCIPLES OF 242, MIGHT BE VERY THING ZAYYAT WAS LOOKING FOR AND THAT SUCH REAFFIRMATION COULD POSSIBLY

BE USED AS CONVINCING ARGUMENT IN OBTAINING ACCEPTABLE LOW- KEY  
CONSENSUS.

3. BRITISH ALSO COMMENTED THAT WITHIN THEIR OWN DELEGATION  
THEY HAD BEEN SPECULATING ON WORKING TOWARD SOMETHING ALONG  
LINES AUGUST 1970 NOT BY SYG ( S/ 9902) . QUESTION IN THEIR  
MINDS AT THIS MOMENT WAS WHETHER ATTEMPT SHOULD BE MADE TO  
INCORPORATE SUCH IDEAS IN PRESENT CONSENSUS OR ENCOURAGE  
JARRING/ WALDHEIM TO MOVE IN THAT DIRECTION IN SECOND STAGE.

4. AMB. SCALI STATED THAT HE SAW GREAT VIRTUE IN ATTEMPTING  
TO FIND A PROCEDURAL FORMULA WHICH GAVE THE APPEARANCE OF  
MOVEMENT SO THAT TIME COULD BE GAINED FOR OTHER POSSIBLE  
EFFORTS.  
SCALI

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 02 APR 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 08 JUN 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** elyme  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973USUNN02157  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** USUN NY  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19730634/abqceavr.tel  
**Line Count:** 72  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** elyme  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 07 AUG 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <07-Aug-2001 by kellerpr>; APPROVED <16-Oct-2001 by elyme>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** UN DEBATE ON ME: US- UK CONSULTATION  
**TAGS:** PFOR, UNSC, XF, UK  
**To:** STATE INFO AMMAN  
BEIRUT  
TEL AVIV  
LONDON  
PARIS  
MOSCOW  
CAIRO

**Type:** TE

**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005